



POLICY BRIEF

**Double Taxation Treaties in Vietnam:
Red carpet for whom?**

Table of Contents

Key Messages	3
Introduction	4
Vietnam's Double Taxation Treaties	5
Slow Growth of Tax Revenue from FDI	7
Conclusion	9
Key recommendations	9

List of Abbreviations

AAV	ActionAid Vietnam
AFV	Aid for Social Protection Program Foundation Vietnam
DTT	Double Tax Treaty
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
G20	Group of Twenty
GDT	General Department of Taxation
GSO	General Statistics Office of Vietnam
UK	United Kingdom
US	United States of America
VAT	Value Added Tax



Key Messages

- Around the world, double taxation treaties (DTTs) are resulting in forgone revenue for developing countries.¹
- Treaties can restrict developing countries' ability to tax multinational companies.
- Multinational companies can flexibly use tax treaties to avoid tax or to shift profits to countries with lower tax rates.
- Vietnam has entered into 76 DTTs – more than Laos, Myanmar, Cambodia and the Philippines combined.²
- 77% of registered capital of major FDI projects in Vietnam comes from tax treaty partners. However, it is difficult to quantify the extent to which DTTs have caused this investment as other factors (like overall tax rates, regulatory environment, infrastructure and skills) can influence investment decisions.³
- Some early treaties (particularly those with the UK, Laos and Singapore) restrict Vietnam's ability to tax foreign businesses. (See discussion below). Tax treaties concluded more recently have better protections of Vietnam's right to tax foreign companies.
- The top four direct providers of FDI to Vietnam (Republic of Korea, Japan, Singapore and Taiwan) enacted among the most restrictive original DTTs based on ActionAid International Tax Treaties Dataset.⁴
- Tax revenues, including from FDI, are essential to funding public services like health and education.⁵ These services enable women and girls to participate in Vietnam's development and to enjoy their fundamental human rights.⁶

¹ ActionAid International, 2016, *Mistreated: The tax treaties that are depriving the world's poorest countries of vital revenue* available at: http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/actionaid_-_mistreated_tax_treaties_report_-_feb_2016.pdf

² *Tax treaties and Implications for Vietnam* (2016) ActionAid Vietnam, forthcoming

³ As above

⁴ Vietnam and Singapore have since negotiated a protocol to their DTT, which came into effect in 2014 and amended some of the restrictive provisions.

⁵ See ActionAid Vietnam (2015) *Cost of Tax Incentives and Tax Avoidance by FDI to Vietnam*, http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/bao_cao_tax_ta_sua_21.4.pdf; pages 11-13;

⁶ See ActionAid Vietnam (2015) *Gender Responsive Public Services: Where is the Answer for Viet Nam?*, http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/ruot_bao_cao_grps_-_ta_0.pdf; page 6

Introduction

Double Taxation Treaties (DTTs)⁷ are agreements made between countries that divide up rights to tax. DTTs decide how much countries can tax multinational companies and other cross-border activities. DTTs provide certainty to international business by indicating which taxes will be limited when making money overseas. DTTs also aim to prevent a company or individual being taxed twice when they are based in one country but earning income in another.

While DTTs can help to provide certainty, they can also restrict the rights of lower-income countries to tax multinational companies, resulting in losses of revenue which could otherwise be used for vital public services. For example, ActionAid International estimates Bangladesh is losing approximately US\$85 million every year from just one clause in its tax treaties that severely restricts its right to tax dividends.⁸ In some cases, DTTs can also result in double non-taxation, where companies engage in 'treaty shopping' to route their funds through favourable treaty countries.⁹

This policy brief looks at the implications of Vietnam's DTTs and makes recommendations to minimize impacts of DTTs on Vietnam's ability to derive the greatest benefit for its citizens from its increasing flows of foreign investment. It draws on research conducted for ActionAid in 2016.



Objectives of This Policy Brief

This policy brief aims to:

1. Outline the current status and potential impacts of DTTs in Vietnam; and
2. Evidence the need to fundamentally improve the approach to existing and potential DTTs in the future.

⁷ Also known as Agreements for the Avoidance of Double Taxation, or Double Taxation Agreements.

⁸ ActionAid International, 2016, *Mistreated: The tax treaties that are depriving the world's poorest countries of vital revenue* available at: http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/actionaid_-_mistreated_tax_treaties_report_-_feb_2016.pdf at p 3.


⁹ ActionAid and SEATINI (2014) *Double Taxation Treaties in Uganda: Impact and Policy Implications*, highlights an example from Zambia of a Zambian subsidiary of British company, Associated British Foods, routing a loan from the UK through Ireland to avoid paying withholding tax. See: <http://www.seatiniuganda.org/publications/research/72-double-taxation-treaties-in-uganda-1/file.html> at p 10.

Vietnam's Double Taxation Treaties

Since 1992, Vietnam has signed DTTs with 76 countries – more than Laos, Myanmar, Cambodia and the Philippines combined.¹⁰ Among other things, these treaties reduce or eliminate the tax payable in Vietnam for residents of the other country; and allow Vietnamese residents to deduct taxes they have paid in the other country from their tax in Vietnam.

Vietnam receives most of its foreign direct investment from DTT partners. 26 of the 32 top providers of FDI to Vietnam have a DTT with Vietnam, and DTT partners account for 84% in terms of project number and 77% in terms of registered capital of major FDI providers' projects in Vietnam. Many of the top 10 providers of FDI to Vietnam have had DTTs since the 1990s.¹¹

Figure 1: Registered capital of Vietnam's major FDI providers, accumulated valid projects to Dec 2015



Source: Compiled from data of GDT and GSO.

While this suggests that DTTs are influential in attracting FDI, they constitute only one of the many determinants of foreign investment – which also includes overall tax rates, regulatory environment, infrastructure and skills.¹² The existence of a DTT can also provide more flexibility for foreign investors to choose the jurisdiction through which to enter Vietnam, potentially leading to more FDI flowing through treaty partner countries, even where they are not the source of the investment.

¹⁰ Data as at 16 October 2016; see ActionAid, *Tax treaties and Implications for Vietnam*.


¹¹ Compiled by CIEM from data of GDT and GSO; cited in ActionAid, *Tax treaties and Implications for Vietnam*

¹² For example, in a 2013 survey, foreign companies investing in Africa ranked the existence of a DTT 10th out of 12 factors determining where they invest, behind economic and political stability, transparency, labour skills and cost of labour. IMF has also argued that the empirical evidence for the investment attraction effects of DTTs is mixed, and that countries need to be cautious that the losses from DTTs do not outweigh the benefits from any FDI generated - see IMF (2014) *Spillovers in International Corporate Taxation*, available: <http://www.imf.org/external/np/pp/eng/2014/050914.pdf> and UNIDO (2011) *Africa Investor Report*, at: https://www.unido.org/fileadmin/user_media/Publications/Pub_free/AIS_Report_A4.pdf

Compared with other developing nations, Vietnam's treaties are generally more protective of its own right to tax – with some significant exceptions. The ActionAid Tax Treaties Dataset (which compares key features of 519 DTTs) provides each treaty with a 'Source Index' score between 0 and 1, where a higher number means the developing country has kept more taxation rights under the treaty.¹³ Vietnam's DTTs with G20 members have a higher average source index (that is, are more protective of Vietnam's right to tax) than many other developing nations.¹⁴ Vietnam's DTTs have also become more protective over time, with the Source Index value of its treaties gradually increasing as a trend.

Despite this general trend, some original treaties signed in the 1990s are comparatively very restrictive on Vietnam's right to tax foreign investment. The treaty with Laos is the most restrictive, with a source index of 0.15 points, following by the UK with 0.16 points and Singapore with 0.18 points- although Vietnam and Singapore have since negotiated a protocol to their DTT which took effect in 2014 and amended some restrictive terms.

Figure 2: How much do various treaties protect Vietnam's right to tax?



Source: ActionAid Treaties Dataset, data as at 2014.

Chart shows a selection of the 64 treaties analysed in the ActionAid dataset

As example of restrictive provisions, both DTTs with Laos and Singapore prevent Vietnam from taxing dividends of companies from these countries even if the companies derive income or profits from Vietnam.¹⁵ As Singapore is the third largest provider of FDI to Vietnam, the potential revenue lost from this provision could be significant. Also significantly, the DTTs with the top four providers of FDI to Vietnam (Republic of Korea, Japan, Singapore and Taiwan) are in the most restrictive 25% of Vietnam's treaties; each with a Source Index lower than 0.5.¹⁶

¹³ ActionAid Tax Treaties Dataset: http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/aa_treaties_dataset_feb_2016.xlsx Dataset analyses treaties from 1970 to 2014. It includes renegotiated treaties, but excludes protocols other than those signed at the time of the original treaty. For more discussion see: M Hearson, 2016. Measuring tax treaty negotiation outcomes: the ActionAid tax treaties dataset. International Centre for Tax and Development Working Paper No 47. Brighton: Institute of Development Studies. Available at: <http://www.ict.ac/datasets/action-aid-treaties-datasets>

¹⁴ Vietnam's average Source Index is 0.56 while the average across all developing countries is 0.45.


¹⁵ The exception is if a Vietnamese resident is receiving the dividends. ActionAid, *Tax treaties and Implications for Vietnam*

¹⁶ ActionAid Tax Treaties Dataset: http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/aa_treaties_dataset_feb_2016.xlsx.

Slow Growth of Tax Revenue from FDI

FDI inflows have increased significantly in Vietnam since 2007 as a result of many factors, including tax system reforms, land and infrastructure incentives, incentives provided under Free Trade Agreements and potentially the existence of DTTs. However, tax revenues contributed by FDI enterprises have grown at much slower pace.

Figure 3: Investment versus tax revenues from FDI sector



Source: Tax treaties and implications for Vietnam (2016) ActionAid Vietnam

The diminishing tax returns from FDI put more pressure on domestic resources to meet the needs of the population and provide the public services required to fulfil the rights of women and girls. At the same time, revenues from corporate income tax are falling as a proportion of the overall budget, with VAT (a tax that impacts the poor proportionally more than other taxes) making up much of the difference.¹⁷

¹⁷ CIEM calculation based on CEIC database (2011), Ministry of Finance (2013b, 2014a, 2014b, 2015a, 2015b). ActionAid, Tax treaties and Implications for Vietnam.

Box 1: Tax and Gender-Responsive Public Services

Public services such as education, health care, clean water, housing, employment, social security and environmental hygiene have an essential role in every citizen's life, and play a key role in ensuring human rights, especially the rights of women and girls. Tax revenues are an important source of funding for these services.¹⁸

Research by ActionAid and partners in 2015 found gaps in the availability, accessibility and gender-responsiveness of public services to women and girls, despite good progress. For example, while basic education facilities are available, most respondents did not have access to universities, vocational training centers, educational institutions for children with disabilities, vocational training centers for women, language or soft skills training.¹⁹ Strong gender-responsive public services will help to ensure that women and girls are not left out of the development process in Vietnam.

The combined pressures on the budget from the above factors make it increasingly important to derive tax revenue from the growing pool of foreign investment. This highlights the need to carefully consider any agreements which have the potential to reduce Vietnam's ability to raise taxes from foreign companies.



¹⁸ See ActionAid Vietnam (2015) Cost of Tax Incentives and Tax Avoidance by FDIs to Vietnam, http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/bao_cao_tax_ta_sua_21.4.pdf; pages 11-13;

¹⁹ See ActionAid Vietnam (2015) *Gender Responsive Public Services: Where is the Answer for Viet Nam?*, http://www.actionaid.org/sites/files/actionaid/ruot_bao_cao_grps_-_ta_0.pdf; page 14.

Box 2: Efforts to reduce transfer mispricing

In recent years, the Government of Vietnam has taken significant steps to combat tax evasion and avoidance, including through transfer mispricing. As one example, transfer mispricing occurs where two related companies that are part of the same multinational group trade with each other but artificially distort the price at which the trade is recorded, in order to minimize tax. This typically involves recording a loss in a country with higher taxes, and recording a profit in a country with lower taxes.

According to the GSO (2016), the number and proportion of FDI enterprises making losses in Vietnam is increasing, with 47.3 per cent of foreign enterprises recording losses in 2014.²⁰ The incidence of losses is higher among wholly-foreign-owned enterprises, than among joint ventures. While these losses cannot be directly associated with base erosion or transfer mispricing, it is relevant that some enterprises have attempted to increase investment even with frequent losses.²¹ In recent years, the Government of Vietnam has paid increasing attention to strengthen transfer pricing management. As well as a comprehensive legal framework, the number of transfer pricing audits of companies has been on the rise since 2010 with significant results.²² The Government also issued a new Decree in February 2017 to further improve transparency and combat tax avoidance in related party transactions.²³

Conclusion

Vietnam has signed a relatively large number of DTTs, which may have been helpful in attracting foreign investment, and have generally improved over time in the level of protection they offer for Vietnam. However there are potential risks, including provisions in some treaties with major FDI providers that restrict the tax that Vietnam can derive from foreign companies. This in turn impacts on the ability to provide public services to poor and vulnerable people, particularly women and girls. As an implication, Vietnam should carefully review the impacts of existing and new DTTs. While Vietnam has derived benefits from its effective integration into the world economy, including measures to attract and facilitate foreign investment, it has reached a stage where a focus on “optimal integration”, rather than “maximal integration”, may be appropriate to ensure that economic integration will continue to benefit those who need it most.

²⁰ General Statistics Office (2016), Business Results of Vietnamese Enterprises in the Period 2010-2014. Statistical Publishing House.

²¹ ActionAid, *Tax Treaties and Implications for Vietnam*.

²² For example, in the period Q4 of 2013 to Q4 of 2015, 29 cases were completed with total adjustments of around VND 6,700 billion (approximately USD 319 million), or an average of USD11 million per case.

²³ ActionAid, *Tax treaties and Implications for Vietnam*



Key Recommendations

- 1. Vietnam should avoid promising or extending new DTTs to foreign countries until a further review has been done of the impacts of existing DTTs.** Any decision to enter into new DTTs should be based on evidence of past impacts of existing DTTs, potential future impacts, and public consultation. This principle should also apply to decisions to ratify DTTs that have been signed but are not yet in force.
- 2. Vietnam should also review DTT rules and make necessary adjustments, including renegotiating DTTs where they are too restrictive.** In particular, restrictive provisions in treaties with major FDI providers should be renegotiated.
- 3. Future decision-making on DTTs should consider the important role that tax plays in funding gender-responsive public services for women and girls, and the need for sufficient taxation revenue to provide these services.**

ActionAid's Research Signature

People-centred evidence with women and girls at the core, combined with knowledge from in and outside the organization, enables power shifts. This brings about changes at local, national and international levels.

Policy brief prepared by
Fyfe Strachan

Contributions from
Nguyen Anh Duong
Lovisa Moller
Nguyen Phuong Thuy

Excutive Editor
Hoang Phuong Thao